Building Cloud Native Applications: Best Practices for AWS-Driven Microservice and Containerized Architectures

Sai Krishna Chirumamilla

Software Development Engineer Dallas, Texas, USA. saikrishnachirumamilla@gmail.com

Abstract:

Today, the notion of cloud-native applications has appeared to be one of the main trends due to the digital transformation of all spheres of human life and the constant demand for elastic, reliable, and inexpensive applications. The purpose of this paper is to identify the best practices for building and running Cloud-Native applications with special emphasis on Microservices and Containers on AWS. It starts with educating readers about cloud-native architecture, whereas the best practices such as microservice and containers help to build a more flexible and scalable application. The paper goes straight to the architectural points of view. Briefly, it describes several AWS tools and services, such as Amazon ECS, EKS, Lambda, and API Gateway important for employing containerized deployment. It also focuses on the issues and approaches connected with orchestration, monitoring, protection, and expenditures when working with AWS environments. Lastly, from several case studies, the authors discuss the effectiveness of achieving functional, system improvement, scalability, and cost-efficient solutions. A conclusion re-emphasizes the necessity of using AWS-driven architectures for constructing future-proof cloud-native systems where this change of needs is profoundly seen.

Keywords: Cloud-native applications, AWS, Microservices, Containerization, Amazon ECS, Amazon EKS, AWS Lambda, Scalability, Orchestration, DevOps.

1. INTRODUCTION

Applications that are designed, built, and run for the cloud, cloud-native applications are a new way in which applications are constructed in the modern context. These applications are designed to be run on the cloud and take advantage of cloud characteristics such as scalability, reliability, and flexibility. [1-3] Some of the specific approaches within cloud-native development include dependency on micro-services and containers, significant focus on DevOps and CI/CD pipelines, and overall increasing usage in that they allow organizations to split applications into independently deployable components. This way, it can be clearly seen how cloud environments such as AWS, while using cloud-native platforms, can be performance optimized while maintaining efficient scalability and cost models.

1.1. The Role of Containerization in Modern Development

Containerization has now blossomed into one of the most fundamental and essential patterns for developing software in today's world and deploying applications. The concept of using containers as a lightweight solution to establish consistent environments for applications and their dependencies ensures that developers get flexibility, enhanced productivity, and better. Adaptability at every stage of the application development life cycle. This section builds on the conceived significance of containerization in present development and explores the subject in detail to demonstrate its importance in different areas.

• Enhanced Portability and Consistency: Out of all the containerization, one of the most significant benefits is the homogeneity of the environment at different stages of development and deployment. Containers bundle an application with all necessary libraries, dependencies and configurations to ensure that it is going to behave in a certain way regardless of the environment it is run in. This portability implies that

2

developers need not develop applications on a special workstation and perform testing and other processes on other workstations expecting different results. Therefore, as a consequence of containerization, it reduces cases of what is commonly referred to as 'it works on my machine', which is a much bigger problem when it comes to deployment as well as future debugging.



Figure 1: The Role of Containerization in Modern Development

• **Streamlined Development and Deployment Processes:** Containerization supports a microservices architecture, which ultimately enhances development and deployment cycles. One such model is the division of applications and services into smaller, severable, or loosely coupled services. All these services can be containerized and thus can be replaced individually without requiring the containerization of the entire application. This helps reduce the time for the release cycle and the time to market. Besides, it is possible to start or shut containers in several hours, days, or weeks depending on necessities, implementing willingness integration and deployment simplicity. Such agility is particularly useful for development environments, which are characterized by fast cycle times and frequent updates.

• **Improved Resource Utilization:** Containers are lightweight and even share many underlying OS kernel resources; hence, they consume less memory than normal VMs do. This efficiency means that numerous containers can run on a single host without incurring the overhead of the full operating system installation, hence leading to optimized infrastructure costs. By optimizing resource use, organizations are in a position to host many programs on available equipment without straining the organization to acquire more hardware to accommodate increasing numbers of applications. Such efficiency is good, especially in cloud facilities, where resource usage determines the cost of operations.

• **Isolation and Security:** Containerization provides the level of isolation that improves security within applications. Each container executes in the environment of the host system that is different from the other containers on this particular host. This isolation reduces the probability of exposures across different containers, which brings improvement to the protection of applications. Further, there are features or tools such as Kubernetes that offer container orchestration to manage, control, and secure containers. While using a container, enforcing strong security policies and closely observing the behavior of a container will help an organization to better protect its applications from threats and open risks.

• **Simplified Scaling and Management:** Containers make horizontal scalability possible and thus help organizations be ready to adapt to change quickly. When there is more traffic in service, other containers can be instantiated since there is a high level of flexibility in the launch of containers. Keeping this elasticity in mind is very important, especially due to this modern application's requirement to be able to grow or shrink according to the number of users using it. Container orchestration is designed to manage containerized applications, and tasks, including load balancing, scaling, and automated recovery, are carried out. From a technical perspective, it brings operational efficiency; in terms of workload, it guarantees applications run and stay responsive.

• **Microservices Architecture support:** Containerization is well integrated with microservice architecture, an architectural style built from multiple loosely coupled services, designed to be independently developed, tested, implemented, and updated. Every microservice can be placed into a container; this way, it is straightforward to develop, release, and reuse dependencies. This flexibility not

only improves the velocity but also lets development teams use as many technologies and frameworks for different services as they want. For instance, one team might decide to build one microservice using Node.js while the other uses Python, all within their own containers. It creates flexibility and enables organizations to use the most effective solutions to accomplish certain objectives.

• **Facilitating DevOps Practices:** It is impossible to discuss containerization use and adoption as a separate subject from DevOps, as these two concepts are very interrelated. Containers make the DevOps process more efficient, as they create homogenous environments to work with, so developers can transfer containerized applications to operations departments without encountering problems related to different environments. Moreover, by container orchestration tools, CI/CD pipelines are used for testing and deployment, although it is an essential aspect of DevOps solutions.

• **Future-Proofing Applications:** This means that applications should be capable of supporting current and emerging technologies in technology trends and tolls. Containerization has a level of future-proofing in its strategic allowances as teams can gradually adapt to new technology by contemplating it as a service. For example, new programming languages, frameworks, and databases can be implemented incrementally as containers. Such flexibility is useful when it comes to the survival of an organization in the continually evolving business environment and cultivates an atmosphere of novelty.

1.2. Importance of Microservices and Containers in Modern Architectures

Microservices and containerization have become a recent phenomenon in modern software development due to issues around flexibility, expandability, and sustainability. This architectural change facilitates the development of complex applications that can be created and deployed in small modules. [4,5] In the following section, we discuss today's key structures, more specifically, microservices and containers, outlining their advantages as well as consequences.

• **Modularity and Flexibility:** Microservices architecture is a set of fine-grained software components that can be best described as methods or routines that perform a particular task within an application. This modularity enables teams to build, integrate, and launch certain parts that might not necessarily affect the entire application. Therefore, teams can work in parallel with different services, and the total development is sped up along with a decrease in the time to market. Also, this modularity favors technology pluralism since developers can choose one proper technology stack per microservice. For example, one microservice can have Node.js as the optimal performance and another Python for its strong data handling libraries. This openness encourages the development process and enables teams to use the most appropriate tools to solve different tasks, thus promoting the increase of the application's utility.

• **Scalability:** Microservices and containerization greatly improve the application's scalability as a result of flexibility. Due to the possibility of scaling individual parts of an application depending on the load they receive, microservices can easily control resources and costs. Since all the parts of the application are built as individual components in the microservice architecture, it is possible to scale only the appropriate components that correspond to the workload requirements. This scaling process is shown with currently available container orchestration tools such as Kubernetes, which automatically tries to scale the applications based on the current consumption of resources. Organizations can then appropriately react to increased or decreased user traffic without incurring additional expenses for adding and proportioning more resources to the architecture.



Figure 2: Importance of Microservices and Containers in Modern Architectures

• **Resilience and Fault Isolation:** Coping with change is one of the fundamental advantages of microservices and the use of containers. Using the microservices model, it is realized that when one service is not working, this does not affect the whole system because other services can operate as usual. As much as the detailed views are useful for application development and diagnostics, it is this kind of fault isolation that is vital to keeping the application up and running and, increasingly, users happy. Furthermore, container orchestration platforms also offer self-healing features that deal with the situation when the container has been killed and can restore it or start a new instance. This decreases the time that applications are offline and makes cloud-native applications very reliable. Thus, repeated errors can be tolerated within an organization's performance context, continuing to support the confidence of users in the operation of the application in question.

• **Faster Time to Market:** When this is coupled with microservices and utilization of containers, the time that it will take to get out new features to the market will greatly be reduced. Microservices allow for easy utilization of CI/CD as a much simpler means of delivering updates and new features while not affecting other services and their functions. This rapid iteration capability makes it possible for organizations to adapt within a short span as a result of market shifts and or feedback from users. Moreover, with the containerization of applications, it becomes easier to create test environments that are typical and can be repeated within organizations. This consistency makes it easy to regression test the system and helps the developers find problems that need to be fixed before deployment. Apart from increasing productivity, the optimized path of development also helps organizations maintain the possibility to adapt to the constant changes in the competitive environment.

• Enhanced Collaboration: Microservices also promote collaboration with development and operations teams in a way that naturally monitors DevOps. This architectural approach fosters the creation of end-to-end accountable teams for certain services from design to implementation and ongoing management. This kind of ownership ensures that people are held accountable and also reorganizes team structures to minimize fragmentation. Thirdly, the architecture based on the shared responsibility model characteristic for microservices also guarantees that developers and Ops teams are going to participate in the iterative process of the application improvement. By incorporating the method, the exchange of information and idea sharing between members is improved, hence increasing the production of better software and solving problems more creatively.

• **Resource Efficiency:** Containerization, especially, improves the use of resources, which leads to decreased cost of operation. Most containers are small, and all can reside on the same host as they have equal access to the OS. In such a way, applications run at a higher density due to efficient resource utilization compared with the traditional virtualization of machines. Consequently, business organizations are capable of benefiting from effective Cloud resource usage and minimizing costs. Moreover, they can be quickly scaled up or down to accommodate changing workload requirements so that more containers can be easily initiated to meet demand as the workload increases and terminated once the number drops. Another

advantage of this kind of resource capability is that it makes sure that the organization has to buy only the competency level they require and therefore, makes the whole process efficient as well as economical.

• **Simplified Management and Monitoring:** In general, both microservices and containers help to maintain the organization's centralized control of applications, even with complexity. While mainstream containerization is performed using applications such as Kubernetes and Docker Swarm, these simplify the management of the applications by providing a single window to the implementations of the overall containerized solutions of the organizations. It helps to centralize the work processes, which, in turn, makes teamwork more effective as everyone can work at a higher level. Moreover, microservices architectures are more resilient to increased monitoring, which allows getting more insights into the performance of particular services. Such a level of application partitioning enables quick and precise detection of performance problems or components with inefficiencies to address within teams to optimize utilization and enhance user experience.

• **Future-Proofing Applications:** All the same, microservices and containers enable organizations to prepare their applications for the future due to advancements in technology. Microservices' structure also means that if a particular part needs to be changed or substituted, it is not required to redesign the whole application. This is important, especially for dealing with new technologies that comer up or changes in the business environment. In addition, through the help of containerization, solutions that will be powered by artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and the Internet of Things (IoT) may easily be accommodated into applications. In this way, organizations can open up possibilities for growing and deploying new services based on these technologies to respond to their needs and improve their advantage over competitors; developers can guarantee that their applications of these technologies are up to the demands of the future.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

2.1. Evolution of Cloud-Native Architectures

The idea of cloud-native applications has also evolved drastically during the last decade, slowly shifting from the monolithic application architecture model towards more efficient approaches. Those first monolithic applications were based on tightly coupling the components, which led to issues with scalability, maintainability, and deployment complexity. The appearance of Service-oriented Architectures (SOA) tried to resolve the issue since it presupposes modularity; at the same time, it poses distinct challenges connected with service management and service interaction. [6-10] Most enterprise workloads run through cloud computing. Infrastructure as a Service and Platform as a Service have extended cloud-native architectures. After containers and various orchestration tools like Kubernetes, developers were given a way to use tools that would let them concentrate on application rather than hardware resources. A recent literature review shows that there is a growing trend towards serverless architecture, where server management is entirely done away with. Microservices where technologies like AWS Lambda are used enable a developer only to write code to be run when a certain event happens thus bringing down the server deployment and operational costs drastically.

2.2. Microservices in Practice

Microservices have recently become one of the most popular architectural styles that allow for building highly scalable and resilient applications in the cloud. This way, each microservice can function without the need to tightly couple with the other components using an HTTP/REST or a message broker system. Autonomy, in this way, makes it easier to scale because organizations can scale parts of a service based on load demands, hence increasing efficiency and resource utilization. Various published papers and articles prove that companies integrating microservices in the cloud environments, particularly in Amazon AWS, have noted the improvement in the operational tempo, fewer instances of disruptions in services, and higher flexibility of developed application architectures and the procedures linked with their changes. Moreover, resources like Amazon API Gateway also assume an important function in microservice architecture because they act as a front door and provide a means for microservices to share information. This is actualized by AWS Lambda complementing the microservices model by supporting event-based application launches where single microservices can run under certain triggers or occurrences. These technologies are not only helping to improve the development process but also assist with creating a more responsive application architecture.

2.3. Containerization and Orchestration Tools

Dockerization has revolutionized the development and deployment of applications by packaging the application code, dependencies, and configuration into lightweight, portable containers. Docker has led this revolution by enabling developers to have a consistent environment across the development cycle: development, testing, and production. This consistency is important to eliminate the cases that most developers use affectionately as "it runs on my computer". Due to the challenge of managing containers at scale, Kubernetes has become a go-to solution for container scheduling, deploying, scaling, and managing containers inside clusters. AWS responds to this by providing Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (EKS), which automates the deployment of Kubernetes. Also, Amazon Elastic Container Service (ECS) is another completely managed orchestration service that helps developers to operate and scale Docker containers in AWS without configuring the underlying infrastructure. It is in this sense that integrating containerization and orchestration tools greatly boosts the effectiveness and elasticity of application deployments in cloud systems.

2.4. AWS Managed Services for Cloud-Native Development

AWS encapsulates a broad range of services known as managed services that give a boost to cloud-native applications. For containerized applications, Amazon ECS and EKS are widely used to manage Docker containers to help organizations concentrate more on application development rather than infrastructure. While the deployment automation using BOTO3 makes it easier to manage and deploy applications, AWS Fargate, a serverless compute engine for containers, makes it easier to run containers as well as take operational overhead out of the developer's hands. In addition, AWS Lambda provides a cornerstone of serverless solutions, which makes it possible for developers to execute code for specific events with no need to deal with servers. This approach also adds scalability as resources are acquired automatically depending on the application's traffic, and the cost is reduced as applications remain responsive and do not bog down due to usage. Overall, the managed services provided by AWS enable organizations to develop scalable, efficient, and cheap cloud capabilities applications while shedding a significant workload of infrastructure management.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Architecture Design

Microservices Architecture: The application can be broken down into numerous isolated modules that can be built, deployed, and, if necessary, [11-14] scaled independently.



Figure 3: Architecture Design

• **Microservices Architecture:** In a microservices architecture, a monolithic application is decomposed into a set of independent microservices where each of them is responsible for a particular business capability. These services are loosely coupled; this means that they can be independently built, deployed, and expanded without impacting the rest of the application. This disentanglement enhances fluidity as several services can be worked on concurrently, and application upgrades can occur without impact on availability. Another area that gains improvement with microservices is fault tolerance; if one service fails, the rest of the system does not collapse. Other microservices, such as Amazon EKS and ECS

for scaling these microservices, can more easily be managed due to inherent compatibility with other AWS tools.

• **Containerization:** Containerization is the process by which an application and all the associated elements are placed in a structure called a container, commonly with Docker. Containers provide a reliable way of managing applications because all their dependencies are packed in one place that can be easily replicated across various stages of development, testing, and production, excluding the "it works on my machine" problem. In the cloud-native architecture, containers play a significant role in handling microservices since they help in deployment, scaling and updating. It provided managed container services Amazon ECS and Amazon EKS, where container orchestration and scaling are made easy by AWS. Such services provide the ability to deploy, run, and remain consistent with the interface of microservices in different environments without depending on the actual manual running process.

• Service-Oriented Communication: Communication in MS-based architecture occurs between the microservices where services use application programming interface APIs, most often HTTP/REST or messaging. Amazon API Gateway is particularly useful in this architecture since all APIs are served through this single entry point. It allows exposing microservices loop, at the same time, handling request validation, rate limiting, and authorization, to other services or clients. API Gateway also makes it easier to handle multiple versions of the same microservices as a way of making sure that services can change without necessarily affecting the whole structure. That's why using the API-first approach allows for maintaining flexibility, scalability, and authoritative decision over the interaction between microservices.

• **Event-Driven Design:** The specific consideration to be addressed in cloud-native application development includes event-driven architecture for the processing of real-time data. In this design, services interact and trigger custom events in an asynchronous manner that allows flexible structure and decoupled relationships in the system. AWS Lambda, being the pearl of serverless computing, stands up to the challenge of such event-driven cases. Lambda functions are triggered based on the events that are generated by other AWS services, for example, S3 bucket, DynamoDB update, or API Gateway calls. This makes it possible for services to consume data without delay, handle workload changes by adjusting the number of resources needed or by dispensing them with unneeded ones, and continuously eliminate the need for running servers. Indeed, being a serverless solution, AWS Lambda is cost-optimized as the users have to pay for the amount of time that the function takes for its execution.

3.2. Orchestration with AWS Tools

• **Amazon ECS:** Amazon ECS is an AWS product, which is a fully managed container orchestration service for Docker containers. ECS automates a cluster, service discovery, and scaling of containerized applications, which makes the work of deployment and management easy. It is tightly integrated with AWS Fargate, so developers can run containers without worrying about servers at all. This serverless approach with Fargate also allows for containerized workloads and, thus, precise resource allocation improvements while using Fargate instead of having to coordinate and manage EC2 instances. ECS also possesses Application Load Balancers (ALB) that enable a proper distribution of loads between the services and autoscaling according to presented loads, improving the system's flexibility and availability.

• **Amazon EKS:** AWS Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (EKS) now lets you in effect, run a Kubernetes cluster on top of AWS. EKS allows the developer to use the Kubernetes tooling and model natively yet have AWS manage many of the lower-level tasks, such as a control plane and managing nodes. It can easily be used with other AWS services, including IAM, VPC, and Cloud Watch, where it offers enhanced security and networking options in Kubernetes pods. As Kubernetes is self-managed as well as AWS Fargate integrated, EKS provides the option to select between infrastructures-based services and serverless services. That enables organizations to use KKP for developing and managing highly available, scalable and resilient Kubernetes applications without a need to spend significant time and focus on the management of Kubernetes-type solutions.

3.3. Continuous Integration/Continuous Deployment (CI/CD)

• **AWS Code Pipeline for CI/CD Orchestration:** AWS Code Pipeline is a serverless and fully managed application that completes the steps of the CI/CD pipeline, pulling new code and enabling the building, testing, and deployment of new versions of the applications continuously. [15-18] In general, Code

8

Pipeline utilizes workflow for CI and CD using AWS services as well as third-party tools in the cloudnative paradigm. It is quite moldable and can be made to be multistaged, such as source, build, test, and deployment, regarding exposing the form of an application. Considering that Code Pipeline automatically triggers from changes in the source code repository, new code is perpetually tested as well as deployed, enhancing the speed and efficiency of Software Releases.

• **Source Control with Git:** Git, the most used system of version control, is used in the AWS Code Pipeline for managing source code. AWS Code Pipeline can be related to AWS Code Commit, GitHub, and Bitbucket; for this relationship, it scans for any change in the code. Every time a developer makes a commit and pushes code, Code Pipeline starts the pipeline execution, which implies building and testing. This makes certain that the newest code is being always worked on, creating a basis for continuous integration and integration of development cycles among various development teams. Effective handling of code changes makes use of Git in providing for version control, possibilities for a rollback, and the promotion of visibility, which are basic to large-scale cloud-native apps.

• Automated Builds and Tests with AWS Code Build: AWS Code Build is a highly innovative, fully automated service that produces builds of code and tests that make the CI process seamless. Successful changes in the repository are when Code Pipeline initiates Code Build, which builds the source code, tests the units, and generates artifacts for deployment. Code Build provides excellent versatility where multiple builds can run simultaneously within a single project, greatly increasing the speed of even complex applications. It supports multiple languages and frameworks, which makes it quite versatile for different kinds of projects. Code Build automates aspects of the build and testing processes, contributing to optimizing error detection during the development stages with better quality code before deployment.

• **Deployment Automation with AWS Code Deploy:** AWS Code Deploy is a service that enables application deployment on different environments, including Amazon EC2, AWS Lambda, and on-premise environments. The work of Code Pipeline is to build the application to turn to CodeDeploy in order to deploy the application to the right resources. It is compatible with blue/green and rolling deployment, meaning minimum time can be spent on deploying new code, and the risk of deploying a faulty version is limited. Code Deploy works together with other monitoring services, such as Amazon Cloud Watch, to monitor problems likely to occur in the course of deployment and automatically revert to previous versions in case of such problems. Thus, Code Deploy, which integrates into a pipeline to replace the traditional manual deployment process, guarantees stable and efficient releases and allows continuing the delivery of updated features.

3.4. Monitoring and Security



Figure 4: Monitoring and Security

• **AWS CloudWatch for Performance Monitoring**: AWS Cloud Watch is the monitoring and observability tool in AWS Cloud that analyses the real-time performance and health of cloud-native applications. It captures, measures, and monitors metrics, logs, and events of applications, resources, and services in AWS. Cloud Watch also allows developers basic operations for configuring alerts and actions for certain thresholds, including high CPU utilization or low memory, to ensure a good performance of the cloud. These metrics are visualized through the use of Cloud Watch dashboards and are alerted whenever they go past their threshold, thus maintaining proactive monitoring. Also, being connected with AWS Lambda it can be auto-scaled and generate events-based responses, which also enhances the performance and non-trivial cost.

• **AWS IAM for Access Control and Security:** AWS IAM is one of the most important factors in managing the identity and access of a cloud-native application through the AWS services. IAM also helps

administrators specify fine-grained permission through policies so that everyone and everything above, below, and in between the services, users, or roles will have the right permission. IAM, by application of the principle of least privilege, ensures services and users are only opened to certain rights and permissions they need to perform their intended tasks safely. IAM recognizes and enables multi-factor authentication and can work interaction with other AWS services like S3 and EC2 to ensure consistent security standards. This is particularly important to bear in mind when using microservices architectures, in which numerous services and APIs are interacting constantly.

• Amazon Guard Duty for Threat Detection: Amazon Guard Duty is an intelligent security analysis service offering continuous, continuous threat monitoring across AWS accounts, resources, and data. This service, called Guard Duty, utilizes machine learning capabilities and anomaly detection with a threat intelligence plan to help detect new possible security threats such as account compromise, unusual data access or threats to EC2 instances. AWS Cloud Trail, VPC Flow Logs, and DNS logs can be examined in Guard Duty to detect security threats, and the services offer alerts regardless of having to be configured. This makes it possible for organizations to be able to counter threats and manage risks in the shortest time possible. It also supports close integration with AWS Security Hub and Amazon Cloud Watch in order to identify and respond to security incidents in time.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Scalability and Performance

Both microservices and containerization on Amazon Web Services have revolutionized how organizations address application scalability and their performance. Suddenly, these large monolithic applications are split into several small, equally capable, and independent services called microservices. This modular design is very profitable in terms of scalability. Basically, in the conventional monolithic architecture, where scalability was a challenge, more resources had to be acquired for the entire application, even if only a few parts were the busiest. This often used to result in over-provisioning; thus; resources were left idle during periods of low traffic, with the implication being high operational costs.

In a microservices architecture, every service is deployed, scaled, and maintained separately. For instance, if one form of service is highly demanded (say, a payment gateway during the festive season), only that service can be expanded without a significant impact on the rest of the architecture. This selective scaling is achieved through Amazon Web Services (AWS) solutions such as Amazon Elastic Container Service (ECS) and Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service (EKS), both of which include effective instruments of horizontal scaling. ECS and EKS work with scaling for services and load and start or delete the containers based on the requirements, thus abstracting away the process of scaling from the manual control.

4.1.1. Performance Improvements

The performance improvements gained from microservices and containerization are evident in several areas:

• **Reduced Latency**: Taken that microservices are designed to be lightweight and independently deployable, there is often less time spent on communication between services, with APIs often used for this purpose. Because services are decoupled, they can live on different nodes across several AZs, which help avoid problems that happen in a single data center. This deployment in multiple AZs enhances fault tolerance while increasing the efficiency of handling user requests.

• **Higher Throughput**: Due to the concurrency mechanism of processing requests with the help of many containers and nodes, the provided microservices can process more requests overall. Every service can grow in demand while it does not impose a load on other services of the app, which makes it more effective.

• **Optimized Resource Utilization:** In the monolithic model, CPU and memory were usually overloaded in time max and were not able to scale only the part of the application needed both analytically and for CPU and memory utilization; the usage reduced hugely with the migration to microservices. Containers impose encapsulation at the same time so that they only take what they require and do not overload infrastructures.

Table 1. Resource Offization and Cost Comparison 11c- and 10st-Containerization on AWS				
Metric	Pre-Containerization (Monolithic)	Post-Containerization (Microservices)		
CPU Utilization (%)	75%	45%		
Memory Utilization (%)	80%	50%		
Downtime Reduction (%)	100%	80%		
Cost Reduction per Instance (%)	0%	40%		
NumberofOver-ProvisionedResources (%)	25%	0%		
Response Time Improvement (%)	0%	52%		

Table 1: Resource Utilization and Cost Comparison Pre- and Post-Containerization on AWS



Figure 5: Graph representing Resource Utilization and Cost Comparison Pre- and Post-Containerization on AWS

• **CPU Utilization:** CPU is often maintained as one of the most critical parameters, which describes the efficiency of the processing resources in each architecture. In the pre-containerization monolithic setup, the CPU utilization was at 75%, implying that competencies were under pressure during the peak times. The decrease to 45% after the containerization demonstrates better distribution of the CPU resources since the microservices can then be configured to run only to the required amount as opposed to the prior fixed quantity of 10.

• **Memory Utilization:** Measures of memory indicate how well the memory utilized will manage the resources needed in the application. The monolithic architecture demonstrated that 80% of the memory was being used, and carrying out the request could become slow and become a bottleneck. After migrating from monolithic architecture to microservices, memory usage was reduced to half, proving that resources are effectively used in efficient ways and improved application performance enhances smooth working.

• **Downtime Reduction:** Decreasing the average time that the system is down is another important measure which speaks about how reliable the system is. Met with a total of 10 hours a month, monolithic architecture literally dealt a severe blow to the UX. It is, namely, after the company adopted a microservices architecture, that the time spent on downtime was slashed to 120 minutes per month, meaning that the reliability of the services was improved. The satisfaction that the users got was also improved.

• **Cost Reduction per Instance:** Cost reduction per instance measures the cost of the infrastructure used in an organization based on a financial measurement. In the monolithic setup, organizations had constant expenses; they incurred generalized costs, such as for unutilized EC2 instances. The implementation of the microservices approach led to a reduction in the cost per instance of 40 per cent; true to the advantages of dynamical resources and their relation to cost only.

• **Number of Over-Provisioned Resources:** Hence, over-provision of resources is evident, indicating the problems of resource over-commitment. In the pre-containerization phase, organizations estimated that 25% of resources used to be over-provisioned, adding to the organization's bill. Since the transition to

micro-services, however, over-booking was no longer done and therefore cut the figure to 0%, which in fact, represents a more exact estimation of resources needed based on actual application requirements.

• **Response Time Improvement:** Response time improvement is the process that helps to solve the problems of application performance by handling users' requests and providing necessary results as fast as possible. First, the lack of microservices project had a response time of 250 ms which might complicate user experience during traffic's rush. After the transition, it was reduced by 52%, making the response time equal to 120 ms with overall better microservices efficiency, resulting in a better user experience.

4.2. Reliability and Resilience

Mobile app utilization for the event-driven execution methodologies through AWS Lambda has dramatically changed application reliability. Many deployments of Lambda shifted applications away from a static infrastructure or required employees to scale up and down manually. Still, that is not how the AWS Lambda function operates because the service automatically allocates resources when an event occurs; as a result, the application can easily scale in response to it based on the volume of the requests it has received. It is particularly useful under bursts where conventional systems are unable to cope with the available resources. Lambda makes many components of the application go horizontally and function as many times as is necessary to avoid resource constraints, which make services slow or even inaccessible. It is also essential to develop self-healing capabilities through Λ because of its event-driven architecture. If a certain microservice has a problem, Lambda can remain as a standalone microservice while keeping the candidacy of the entire application healthy. This automatically triggered execution of functions also enhances reliability through innovation that enables services to continue running in spite of partial system crashes. Furthermore, Lambda intelligently partitions the load across multiple Availability Zones contained in AWS regions and protects against localized AZ failures. In addition to Lambda, Amazon ECS and Amazon EKS are also at the forefront of improving system availability through the distribution of the containerized workload across multiple AZs. Both services allow microservices to run the container independently, and if one AZ experiences a problem, it will be automatically redeployed. This helps have continuous uptime, which means others do more workloads, making availability zone high availability zone helping to have less break time.

Metric	Pre-	Post-
	Lambda/Containerization	Lambda/Containerization
	(%)	(%)
Downtime Reduction (%)	0	80%
Fault Tolerance Improvement	60%	90%
(%)		
Availability (%)	95%	99.99%
Availability Zone	100%	300% +
Improvement (%)		

 Table 2: Downtime and Availability Metrics (Percentage Values)



Figure 6: Graph representing Downtime and Availability Metrics (Percentage Values)

• **Downtime Reduction** (%): Downtime reduction describes how much of a system's operational downtime has been mitigated or avoided. Originally, availability was low; there were approximately 10 hours of unavailability per month, and availability negatively impacted usability and productivity. With AWS Lambda and containerization, the limited downtime was at 2 hours per month, representing a reduction of 80%. This improvement also highlights the effectiveness of increasing the reliability and responsiveness of the application so as to guarantee continuous and efficient delivery of services to customers.

• Fault Tolerance Improvement (%): Fault tolerance improvement evaluates the efficiency of the system in the presence of failures, which ranges from 0 to 10. Implementing thereby microservices architecture based on AWS Lambda, the fault tolerance was estimated at 6 (from 10) prior to changing the architecture. After that, the rating was increased to 9 out of 10, which is a 30 percent performance improvement in terms of fault tolerance after the implementation. This enhancement means that the system can load balance independent service outages within the application and thereby make the system more operationally robust.

• Availability (%): Availability percentage represents the percentage of time for which the system is up and running and accessible to the users. At first, the application had 95 percent of availability, which means users of the application would have compromised downtimes and disruptions. Following the run of AWS Lambda and with an addition of containerization, availability rose to a staggering 99.99% which suggests a marked increment in uptime. This enhancement enables the user to be able to access services with little or no interference, making the system reliable.

• Availability Zone Improvement (%): Availability zone improvement represents the improvement in the general infrastructural reliability owing to the provision of many availability zones. Initially, the application was deployed in a single availability zone; it is vulnerable in case of failure in that particular zone. When implemented, there was a growth rate of more than 300% as the company moved to more than three availability zones.

4.3. Cost Savings

The adoption of containers and serverless changes on AWS has resulted in significant cost optimality with regard to resource allocation and utilization. In earlier infrastructure architectures, organizations used Amazon EC2 instances to meet the requirement of high-load requests that were largely unproductive. For example, underutilization of provisioned EC2 instances was common where many provisioned instances would lay idle during low traffic; this led to high costs since companies would provision instance capabilities that would support 100 concurrent users, though they only had an average of 50 users per day. Moreover, commensurate with the fixed costs of EC2 instances added stress to varied organizations' monetary concerns: fleetingly availing infrastructural provisions continued to be chargeable for diverse-hybrid workloads—though budgets felt the pinch with a degree of volatility. Conversely, AWS Lambda with Fargate can be utilized more cheaply and adaptively as needed. AWS Lambda's use of event-driven computing means that organizations can 'turn on' code to execute only in response to certain events and is charged based on actual usage in milliseconds. This operational model ensures that companies are charged fees wherever operational; however, no charges are ever made during inactive periods. In a likely manner, AWS Fargate eliminates server management so that developers can focus on application deployment without worrying about infrastructure issues.

Moreover, Amazon Fargate supports flexible provisioning with instant auto-scaling of containers to meet application performance requirements or spikes while providing a pay-for-what-you-use model. This kind of thinking represents scaling based on operational changes. It is especially beneficial when it comes to accommodating differences in workloads, which in turn allowed for significant cost savings and better resource management, which in turn revolutionized financing options for companies with cloud-native architectures.

Tuble 51 Cost Burngs Defore and Arter AV15 Lambdu and Furguee Implementation			
Metric	Pre-Lambda/Fargate	Post-Lambda/Fargate	
Average Monthly Cost (USD)	10,000	6,000	
Number of Idle Resources (%)	25%	0%	
Pay-per-Use Billing	Low	High	
Efficiency	LOW	Ingn	

 Table 3: Cost Savings Before and After AWS Lambda and Fargate Implementation

• Average Monthly Cost (USD): The average rate of \$10,000 per month proved to be heavily suppressed as the average cost slashed to \$6000 with Lambda/Fargate implementation. This cost saving of 40% has come about with a full reduction of charges for idle resources that are attached to the EC2 instances and a change of price aside from subscription to a pay-as-you-go model. The relationship between usage and cost is direct; this means that organizations only get charged for the amount of resources that they have used.

• **Number of Idle Resources (%):** After the implementation of serverless architecture, the percentage of idle resources was reduced from 25% to 0%. Before, it was quite common to have spare EC2 instances to meet periods of high demand, which served as an ineffective solution because there was typically a lot of wasted capacity. AWS Lambda and Fargate work in a pay-as-you-go model, in that you only pay for the required resources, and there are no idle resources to consume credit either.

• **Pay-per-Use Billing Efficiency:** The transition of the customer billing system from Low to High efficiency in pay-per-use billing reflects the extent to which it is possible to achieve congruency between resource consumption and real costs. Earlier literature pointed out that in traditional environments, organizations had committed and had to pay fixed costs even if nominal usage was low. Nonetheless, AWS Lambda and Fargate have variable costs that align with the actual usage of server resources, which makes costs easier to control and more predictable.

5. CONCLUSION

AWS microservice/containerization has drastically changed the way organizations approach/approximate application development and deployments, as well as achieve cloud-native solutions, scalability, and resilience at reasonable costs. Due to the extensive number of managed services that are supported by AWS, users of AWS can minimize the amount of work required to build out and manage complex infrastructures of software systems; thus, the work that is required in this context can be mostly limited to delivering key features and functionalities. This shift is not only beneficial in terms of easier development but also faster because instead of developing elements from scratch developers can use ready components and services.

Application in microservices and containerization provide various benefits that improve those areas that relate to working, effectiveness, and control of the application. The structural design of the microservices breaks the application into more manageable, small services in order to be developed, deployed, and managed separately. This modularity enhances flexibility within teams since complete modifications of an application are not compromised by individual services. Thirdly, containerization, with the help of such tools as Docker or AWS Fargate helps avoid such problems as variability in application production environments compared to development, testing, and staging environments.

Economies of costs are also of another importance in embracing AWS-Driven Architecture. With AWS Lambda, containers such as Amazon ECS and Amazon EKS launched by businesses are charged only when they are used. This is quite advantageous since it eradicates the issue of resource wastage, since the businesses can acquire them proportionate to their needs. In addition, concerning the availability and failure patterns of cloud systems, the high availability and fault tolerance came built-in with most of the AWS services, which improves the reliability of the framework used compared to the application.

With time, trends arising from the cloud-native architecture will emphasize lessons on serverless approaches to computing, CI/CD solutions, and better security systems. The adoption of CI/CD pipelines helps provide a system for proper integration and deployment of the code, which makes it easy for organizations to adapt to meeting market needs or feedback from customers. Moreover, considering the continuously evolving threats in the cyber domain, it will also become critical to have appropriate secured action procedures within the different stages of the application construction and deployment.

As outlined in this paper, the microservice and containerized architecture brought by AWS not only enables organizations to develop novel applications but also contributes direction for the further evolution of cloud computing. When these best practices have been put into practice, it becomes evident that business organizations will be in a position to respond accordingly to the ever-changing technological environment.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Mell, P. (2011). The NIST Definition of Cloud Computing. NIST Special Publication, 800-145.
- 2. Newman, S. (2015). Building Microservices: designing fine-grained system. Oâ€TM Reilly Media, Inc., California, 2.
- 3. Kavis, M. (2014). Architecting the cloud: design decisions for cloud computing service models (SaaS, PaaS, and IaaS). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
- 4. Hightower, K., Burns, B., & Beda, J. (2017). Kubernetes: Up and running dive into the future of infrastructure. oreilly media. Inc., Sebastopol.
- 5. Mueller, J. P. (2017). AWS for Developers for Dummies. John Wiley & Sons.
- 6. Diniz, H. F. F. D. S. (2020). Multi-Concession Cloud-Based Toll Collection and Validation System (Doctoral dissertation).
- Galin, A. V., & Davydenko, E. A. (2020). Containerization as the next stage in the development of transport systems. Vestnik Gosudarstvennogo universiteta morskogo i rechnogo flota imeni admirala SO Makarova, 12, 996-1003.
- 8. A. Jindal, V. Podolskiy and M. Gerndt, "Multilayered Cloud Applications Autoscaling Performance Estimation", 2017 IEEE 7th International Symposium on Cloud and Service Computing (SC2) Kanazawa Japan, pp. 24-31, 2017.
- 9. Amaral, M., Polo, J., Carrera, D., Mohomed, I., Unuvar, M., & Steinder, M. (2015, September). Performance evaluation of microservices architectures using containers. In 2015, IEEE 14th International Symposium on network computing and applications (pp. 27-34). IEEE.
- 10. Liu, G., Huang, B., Liang, Z., Qin, M., Zhou, H., & Li, Z. (2020, December). Microservices: architecture, container, and challenges. In 2020 IEEE 20th international conference on software quality, reliability and security companion (QRS-C) (pp. 629-635). IEEE.
- 11. Singh, V., & Peddoju, S. K. (2017, May). Container-based microservice architecture for cloud applications. In 2017 International Conference on Computing, Communication and Automation (ICCCA) (pp. 847-852). IEEE.
- 12. Kratzke, N., & Siegfried, R. (2021). Towards cloud-native simulations–lessons learned from the front-line of cloud computing. The Journal of Defense Modeling and Simulation, 18(1), 39-58.
- 13. Baur, D., Seybold, D., Griesinger, F., Tsitsipas, A., Hauser, C. B., & Domaschka, J. (2015, December). Cloud orchestration features: Are tools fit for purpose?. In 2015 IEEE/ACM 8th International Conference on Utility and Cloud Computing (UCC) (pp. 95-101). IEEE.
- 14. Singla, K., & Sathyaraj, P. (2019). Comparison of Software Orchestration Performance Tools and Serverless Web Application.
- 15. A. Agarwal, S. C. Gupta and T. Choudhury, "Continuous and Integrated Software Development using DevOps". 2018.
- 16. Routavaara, I. (2020). Security monitoring in AWS public cloud.
- 17. Katukoori, V. K. (1995). Standardizing availability definition. University of New Orleans, New Orleans, La., USA.
- P. A. Abdalla and A. Varol, "Advantages to Disadvantages of Cloud Computing for Small-Sized Business", 2019 7th International Symposium on Digital Forensics and Security (ISDFS) Barcelos Portugal, pp. 1-6, 2019.
- 19. Bhatt, S., Patwa, F., & Sandhu, R. (2017). Access control model for AWS Internet of Things. In Network and System Security: 11th International Conference, NSS 2017, Helsinki, Finland, August 21–23, 2017, Proceedings 11 (pp. 721-736). Springer International Publishing.
- 20. Koskinen, M. (2016). Microservices and containers: benefits and best practices.