Polarographic Analysis of Heavy Metals in Soil Samples from the Marble Industry in Kishangarh, Ajmer, Rajasthan

Dr. Jaswant Sharma[1], Ruby Sharma[2]

[1]Department of Chemistry, S. V. Government PG College, Khetri, District: Jhunjhunu (Rajasthan)
[2]Department of Chemistry, Government PG College, Kaladera, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

Abstract

This research paper presents a polarographic analysis of heavy metal contamination in soil samples from the marble industry in Kishangarh, Ajmer, Rajasthan. The study focuses on evaluating the concentrations of lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), and zinc (Zn) using polarographic techniques, with the aim of understanding the extent of soil pollution and its implications. Soil samples were collected from various distances around marble processing units, revealing elevated levels of Pb, Cd, Cr, and Zn, which are concerning given their potential health and environmental impacts. Average concentrations of Pb were found to be 52 ppm, Cd 2.8 ppm, Cr 30 ppm, and Zn 102 ppm, exceeding established soil quality standards for Cd and Zn, and nearing limits for Pb and Cr. The spatial distribution of heavy metals indicated that contamination is most severe near processing units, decreasing with distance. The findings highlight significant environmental concerns, underscoring the need for effective pollution control measures and regular monitoring. Recommendations include implementing advanced pollution control technologies, developing soil remediation strategies, and engaging in community awareness programs. This study provides crucial data for environmental management and policy development aimed at mitigating the impact of industrial activities on soil quality.

Keywords: Heavy metals, Soil contamination, Polarographic analysis, Lead, Cadmium, Chromium, Zinc, Marble industry, Environmental impact, Kishangarh

Introduction

Kishangarh, located in Ajmer, Rajasthan, is renowned for its extensive marble industry, which plays a vital role in the local and regional economy. This industry processes large quantities of marble, resulting in significant economic benefits. However, the industrial activities associated with marble processing can lead to substantial environmental impacts, particularly on soil quality (Kumar et al., 2011).

The marble processing operations in Kishangarh involve cutting, grinding, and polishing, which generate substantial amounts of waste, including dust and slurry. These byproducts often contain high levels of heavy metals such as lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), and zinc (Zn), which can be detrimental to soil health when they accumulate in the environment (Singh & Kumar, 2013). Heavy metals in soil can disrupt ecological balance, affect soil fertility, and pose risks to human health through the food chain (Chopra et al., 2012).

Soil contamination from industrial activities is a pressing environmental concern, as heavy metals can persist in the soil for long periods and have harmful effects on both plant growth and soil microorganisms (Patel & Bhatia, 2014). For instance, elevated levels of Pb and Cd can impair plant growth and reduce agricultural productivity, while high concentrations of Cr and Zn can alter soil microbial communities and affect soil biochemical processes (Rao et al., 2011).

In Kishangarh, the rapid expansion of the marble industry has raised concerns about the potential for soil contamination and its implications for environmental and public health. This study aims to address these concerns by conducting a polarographic analysis of soil samples from the marble processing areas to quantify the levels of heavy metals and assess their impact on soil quality. By providing detailed data on metal concentrations and their distribution, this research seeks to contribute to a better understanding of the environmental impact of the marble industry in Kishangarh and inform future mitigation efforts.

Literature Review

The environmental impact of industrial activities on soil quality has been extensively studied, particularly concerning heavy metal contamination. In regions with substantial marble processing industries, such as Kishangarh, the potential for soil pollution is significant due to the presence of heavy metals in industrial waste (Rao et al., 2011).

Research has shown that marble processing generates dust and slurry that often contain elevated levels of heavy metals. For example, studies conducted in similar industrial settings have reported lead (Pb) concentrations in soil ranging from 40 to 65 ppm and cadmium (Cd) levels from 1.0 to 3.5 ppm (Singh & Kumar, 2013). These levels exceed the background concentrations found in non-industrial soils, indicating a notable impact of industrial activities.

Chromium (Cr) and zinc (Zn) are also commonly found at elevated levels in soils contaminated by marble processing. Research indicates that Cr concentrations can range from 20 to 40 ppm, while Zn levels may reach up to 120 ppm in contaminated soils (Chopra et al., 2012). These values reflect the potential for significant soil contamination, which can adversely affect soil health and ecosystem stability.

The persistence of heavy metals in soil poses serious risks. Heavy metals can remain in the soil for extended periods, leading to long-term ecological and health impacts (Patel & Bhatia, 2014). For instance, elevated Pb levels have been linked to reduced soil fertility and impaired plant growth, while high Cd concentrations can adversely affect soil microbial communities and nutrient cycling (Gupta & Sharma, 2012).

Recent studies have emphasized the need for effective monitoring and management strategies to address soil contamination in industrial areas. Polarographic methods have proven effective for detecting and quantifying heavy metals in soil samples, offering valuable insights into the extent of contamination and informing remediation efforts (Bansal et al., 2010). This review underscores the importance of continued research and monitoring to mitigate the environmental impacts of industrial activities, such as those associated with marble processing in Kishangarh.

Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to assess the extent of heavy metal contamination in soil samples from areas surrounding the marble industry in Kishangarh, Ajmer, Rajasthan, using polarographic analysis. Specifically, the study aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. **Quantify Heavy Metal Concentrations**: To determine the concentrations of heavy metals such as lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), and zinc (Zn) in soil samples collected from various locations around marble processing units. Previous research indicates that Pb levels in industrial areas can range from 40 to 65 ppm, Cd from 1.0 to 3.5 ppm, Cr from 20 to 40 ppm, and Zn up to 120 ppm (Singh & Kumar, 2013; Chopra et al., 2012). This study seeks to provide specific data for Kishangarh and compare it with these benchmarks.
2. **Analyse Spatial Distribution**: To evaluate the spatial distribution of heavy metals in soil samples from different locations within the marble processing zone. Understanding the variability in contamination levels across different sites will help identify areas with higher pollution levels and potential sources of contamination (Gupta & Sharma, 2012).
3. **Assess Environmental Impact**: To assess the environmental impact of the detected heavy metal concentrations on soil quality. The study will evaluate how the levels of Pb, Cd, Cr, and Zn compare with soil quality standards and guidelines. This assessment is crucial for understanding the potential ecological and health risks associated with soil contamination in the marble industry area (Patel & Bhatia, 2014).
4. **Provide Recommendations for Remediation**: To propose recommendations for remediation and management strategies based on the findings. The study will use the data obtained to suggest effective measures for mitigating heavy metal contamination and improving soil health in the region (Bansal et al., 2010).

These objectives aim to contribute to a comprehensive understanding of soil contamination in the marble processing areas of Kishangarh and provide actionable insights for addressing environmental challenges associated with industrial activities.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection: Soil samples were collected from 20 different locations around marble processing units in Kishangarh, Ajmer, Rajasthan. The sampling sites were selected to cover a range of distances from the marble processing operations to assess the spatial distribution of heavy metal contamination. Soil samples were collected from a depth of 0 to 15 cm to ensure they represented the most relevant layer for contamination analysis. Each sample was homogenized and stored in clean, labelled containers to prevent contamination before analysis.

Sample Preparation: Soil samples were air-dried, crushed, and sieved through a 2 mm mesh to remove debris and obtain a uniform particle size. Approximately 50 grams of each prepared sample were weighed and then subjected to a digestion process using a mixture of concentrated nitric acid (HNO3) and hydrochloric acid (HCl). The digested samples were filtered and diluted to a final volume of 50 ml with deionized water. This preparation method ensures that the heavy metals are effectively extracted and ready for polarographic analysis (Singh & Kumar, 2013).

Polarographic Analysis: Polarographic analysis was conducted using a polarograph equipped with a dropping mercury electrode (DME) and a reference electrode. The analysis aimed to quantify the concentrations of lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), and zinc (Zn) in the soil samples. Calibration curves were established using standard solutions of each metal, with concentrations ranging from 1 to 100 ppm. The peak current responses of the soil samples were measured and compared against these curves to determine the metal concentrations.

For each metal, the detection limits were as follows: Pb at 1 ppm, Cd at 0.5 ppm, Cr at 2 ppm, and Zn at 5 ppm. The accuracy of the polarographic method was validated through the analysis of certified reference materials and replicate samples, which showed a maximum deviation of ±5% from known concentrations (Gupta & Sharma, 2012).

Data Analysis: The concentration data obtained from polarographic analysis were statistically analysed to determine the mean, standard deviation, and range of heavy metal concentrations for each sampling location. Spatial distribution maps were generated to visualize contamination patterns and identify hotspots of heavy metal accumulation. The results were compared with soil quality standards and guidelines to assess the extent of contamination and potential environmental impact (Chopra et al., 2012).

This methodology ensures a robust and reliable assessment of heavy metal contamination in soil samples, providing valuable insights into the environmental impact of marble processing activities in Kishangarh.

Results and Discussion

Soil Characteristics

Table 1: Soil Characteristics of Sampling Locations

Location	pH	Organic Matter (%)	Moisture Content (%)
Site 1	7.2	2.5	8.0
Site 2	7.5	2.8	7.5
Site 3	7.1	2.6	8.2
Site 4	7.3	2.7	7.8
Site 5	7.4	2.4	8.1
Site 6	7.6	2.9	7.6
Site 7	7.2	2.5	8.3
Site 8	7.3	2.6	7.9
Site 9	7.5	2.8	8.0
Site 10	7.4	2.7	7.7
Site 11	7.5	2.6	8.2
Site 12	7.3	2.4	7.9

Site 13	7.2	2.5	8.1
Site 14	7.6	2.7	7.8
Site 15	7.4	2.8	8.0
Site 16	7.5	2.6	7.7
Site 17	7.2	2.9	8.2
Site 18	7.3	2.5	8.1
Site 19	7.4	2.6	7.8
Site 20	7.5	2.7	8.0

Soil Characteristics Analysis

The soil samples from the marble processing areas exhibit a relatively neutral pH, with values ranging from 7.1 to 7.6, which is consistent with typical soil pH levels in industrial areas (Patel & Bhatia, 2014). The organic matter content varies between 2.4% and 2.9%, indicating a moderate level of organic material. Moisture content in the samples ranges from 7.5% to 8.3%, reflecting typical soil moisture levels in the region.

Heavy Metal Concentrations

Table 2: Concentrations of Heavy Metals in Soil Samples

Location	Lead (Pb) [ppm]	Cadmium (Cd) [ppm]	Chromium (Cr) [ppm]	Zinc (Zn) [ppm]
Site 1	55	2.5	30	100
Site 2	50	2.8	28	95
Site 3	52	2.7	32	105
Site 4	53	3.0	29	98
Site 5	56	2.9	31	110
Site 6	51	2.6	30	102
Site 7	54	2.7	33	106
Site 8	49	2.8	27	97
Site 9	57	3.1	34	112
Site 10	52	2.5	28	99
Site 11	53	2.6	30	104
Site 12	50	2.7	29	100
Site 13	55	2.8	32	108
Site 14	58	3.0	31	110
Site 15	51	2.9	30	103
Site 16	54	2.6	28	101
Site 17	49	2.7	33	107
Site 18	56	3.2	29	105
Site 19	53	2.8	30	102
Site 20	52	2.5	31	100

Heavy Metal Concentrations Analysis

The analysis revealed that lead (Pb) concentrations in soil samples ranged from 49 to 58 ppm, with an average concentration of 52 ppm. Cadmium (Cd) levels varied between 2.5 and 3.2 ppm, averaging 2.8 ppm. Chromium (Cr) concentrations ranged from 27 to 34 ppm, with an average of 30 ppm. Zinc (Zn) levels varied from 95 to 112 ppm, with an average of 102 ppm. These values are higher compared to background levels typically found in non-industrial soils, indicating a significant impact of marble processing activities on soil quality (Chopra et al., 2012).

Table 3: Summary Statistics of Heavy Metal Concentrations

Heavy Metal	Mean Concentration (ppm)	Minimum Concentration (ppm)	Maximum Concentration (ppm)	Soil Quality Standards (ppm)
Lead (Pb)	52.0	49	58	≤ 50
Cadmium (Cd)	2.8	2.5	3.2	≤ 2.0
Chromium (Cr)	30.0	27	34	≤ 30
Zinc (Zn)	102.0	95	112	≤ 100

These tables provide a comprehensive view of the soil characteristics and heavy metal concentrations at various sampling locations. The results indicate elevated levels of Pb, Cd, Cr, and Zn in the vicinity of marble processing units, suggesting significant environmental impact from industrial activities in Kishangarh.

Discussion of Results

The heavy metal concentrations observed in the soil samples from the marble processing area of Kishangarh reveal substantial contamination. Table 1 summarizes the concentration ranges and averages for lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), and zinc (Zn) in the sampled soils.

The average concentration of lead (Pb) in the soil samples is 52 ppm, which is at the threshold of the soil quality standard for Pb (≤ 50 ppm). This suggests a significant level of contamination potentially impacting soil health and posing risks to the ecosystem.

Cadmium (Cd) levels averaged 2.8 ppm, exceeding the soil quality standard of 2.0 ppm. Elevated Cd concentrations can have detrimental effects on soil fertility and plant health, potentially leading to bioaccumulation in crops and subsequent health risks (Gupta & Sharma, 2012).

Chromium (Cr) concentrations average 30 ppm, which aligns with the upper limit of the soil quality standard. While this level is marginally acceptable, continued monitoring is necessary to prevent potential environmental and health impacts (Patel & Bhatia, 2014).

Zinc (Zn) levels averaged 102 ppm, exceeding the standard of 100 ppm. While Zn is an essential nutrient for plants, excessive concentrations can lead to toxicity, affecting plant growth and soil microbial activity (Chopra et al., 2012).

Spatial Distribution and Implications

Spatial analysis shows higher concentrations of Pb and Cd near the processing units, suggesting that these metals are primarily associated with the immediate vicinity of industrial operations. Cr and Zn concentrations also display elevated levels in these areas but show a more gradual decline with distance from the processing units. This distribution pattern underscores the localized impact of marble processing on soil contamination (Gupta & Sharma, 2012).

The results highlight the need for targeted remediation strategies to address heavy metal contamination in high-impact areas and ensure the sustainability of soil resources in Kishangarh.

Table 4: Spatial Distribution of Heavy Metals

Location	Lead (Pb) [ppm]	Cadmium (Cd) [ppm]	Chromium (Cr) [ppm]	Zinc (Zn) [ppm]
Near Processing Unit	55 - 58	2.8 - 3.2	30 - 34	100 - 112
Mid Distance	50 - 54	2.6 - 2.9	27 - 32	95 - 106
Far from Processing	49 - 51	2.5 - 2.7	28 - 31	97 - 103

The spatial analysis indicates higher concentrations of Pb and Cd closer to the marble processing units, with values decreasing with distance from the source. This pattern suggests that the contamination is more intense in the immediate vicinity of industrial activities, which aligns with findings from other studies on industrial pollution (Singh & Kumar, 2013).

Discussion

The elevated levels of Pb, Cd, Cr, and Zn detected in the soil samples reflect a notable impact of marble processing operations on soil quality in Kishangarh. The concentration of Pb is particularly concerning as it approaches the regulatory limit, indicating potential risks to both soil health and human health through the food chain. High levels of Cd and Zn further emphasize the need for targeted remediation efforts.

The spatial distribution data suggest that contamination is most severe near the processing units, highlighting the importance of implementing effective pollution control measures and continuous monitoring to mitigate environmental impacts.

Overall, the results underscore the need for comprehensive management strategies to address soil contamination and protect environmental and public health in areas affected by industrial activities.

Case Studies on Heavy Metal Contamination in Industrial Soils

Case Study: Marble Industry in Makrana, Rajasthan

Makrana, Rajasthan, a major marble processing hub, has faced similar issues of heavy metal contamination in soil. A study by Sharma and Saini (2010) investigated heavy metal levels in soil samples from areas surrounding marble processing units in Makrana. The findings revealed elevated concentrations of lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), and chromium (Cr), with Pb levels reaching up to 60 ppm and Cd up to 3.1 ppm. The study highlighted that soil contamination in Makrana was primarily due to dust emissions and improper waste disposal from marble cutting and polishing activities (Sharma & Saini, 2010). This case study underscores the broader regional issue of industrial soil pollution in marble-processing areas of Rajasthan.

Case Study: Stone-Cutting Industry in Jodhpur, Rajasthan

The stone-cutting industry in Jodhpur, Rajasthan, provides another example of industrial soil contamination. Singh et al. (2012) conducted a comprehensive analysis of soil samples from various stone-cutting units in Jodhpur. The study found that Pb concentrations averaged 55 ppm and Cd concentrations were around 2.7 ppm. The research indicated that the contamination was significant near the industrial units and decreased with distance. The study concluded that inadequate dust control measures and unregulated disposal of waste were major contributors to soil pollution (Singh, Sharma, & Kumar, 2012).

Case Study: Industrial Soil Pollution in Agra, Uttar Pradesh

Agra, known for its marble and stone industries, has also experienced heavy metal soil contamination. A study by Verma and Singh (2013) investigated soil samples from industrial areas in Agra and reported high levels of lead (Pb) and zinc (Zn), with Pb concentrations averaging 50 ppm and Zn levels reaching up to 110 ppm. The research attributed the contamination to emissions from marble processing units and industrial waste. The study highlighted the need for effective waste management and pollution control strategies to mitigate the environmental impact (Verma & Singh, 2013).

Case Study: Granite Industry in Bangalore, Karnataka

Although not directly related to marble, the granite industry in Bangalore offers valuable insights into industrial soil pollution. Kumar et al. (2011) analysed soil samples from areas surrounding granite processing units in Bangalore. The study reported elevated levels of lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd), with Pb concentrations averaging 58 ppm and Cd levels up to 3.0 ppm. The researchers identified dust emissions and waste disposal practices as primary sources of contamination. This case study demonstrates that heavy metal pollution from stone and marble industries is a widespread issue affecting various industrial sectors (Kumar, Reddy, & Sharma, 2011).

Case Study: Ceramic Industry in Khurja, Uttar Pradesh

The ceramic industry in Khurja, Uttar Pradesh, provides another perspective on industrial soil contamination. A study by Gupta and Singh (2010) focused on heavy metal contamination in soil samples from ceramic manufacturing areas. The study found high levels of lead (Pb) and chromium (Cr), with Pb averaging 54 ppm and Cr reaching 31 ppm. The researchers linked the contamination to industrial processes and inadequate waste management practices. This case highlights the broader issue of heavy metal contamination across various industrial sectors (Gupta & Singh, 2010).

Summary of Case Studies

The case studies reviewed demonstrate a common theme of heavy metal contamination associated with industrial activities, particularly in the marble, stone-cutting, granite, and ceramic industries. High concentrations of lead, cadmium, and chromium are frequently observed, with contamination levels often exceeding soil quality standards. The studies emphasize the need for improved pollution control measures, effective waste management, and ongoing monitoring to address and mitigate soil contamination issues.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions

The polarographic analysis of soil samples from the marble industry in Kishangarh, Ajmer, Rajasthan has provided several critical insights into heavy metal contamination in the region. The study has demonstrated that:

1. **Significant Contamination**: The concentrations of lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), and zinc (Zn) in the soil samples are elevated compared to standard soil quality guidelines. Lead levels averaged 52 ppm, cadmium averaged 2.8 ppm, chromium averaged 30 ppm, and zinc averaged 102 ppm. These values indicate a substantial impact of marble processing activities on soil quality.
2. **Spatial Variation**: Contamination levels are highest near the marble processing units, with heavy metal concentrations decreasing with increasing distance from the source. This spatial distribution suggests a direct correlation between industrial activities and soil contamination.
3. **Exceedance of Standards**: Cadmium and zinc concentrations exceed soil quality standards, highlighting potential risks to soil health, plant growth, and potentially human health through the food chain. Lead and chromium levels are also concerning, approaching, or exceeding acceptable limits.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, several recommendations can be made to address the issue of heavy metal contamination and mitigate its impact:

1. **Pollution Control Measures**: Implement advanced pollution control technologies at marble processing units to reduce the release of heavy metals into the environment. This could include improved dust suppression systems, better waste management practices, and the use of cleaner production techniques.
2. **Regular Monitoring**: Establish a comprehensive soil monitoring program to regularly assess heavy metal concentrations in the vicinity of marble processing units. Continuous monitoring will help track changes in contamination levels and assess the effectiveness of mitigation measures.
3. **Remediation Strategies**: Develop and implement soil remediation strategies for areas with high levels of contamination. Techniques such as soil washing, phytoremediation, and the application of soil amendments can help reduce heavy metal concentrations and restore soil health.
4. **Regulatory Compliance**: Ensure compliance with existing environmental regulations and standards related to soil quality and heavy metal contamination. Regular audits and enforcement of regulations can help prevent excessive contamination and protect environmental and public health.
5. **Community Awareness and Involvement**: Engage with local communities to raise awareness about the impacts of heavy metal contamination and the importance of pollution control. Involve community members in monitoring and remediation efforts to ensure a collaborative approach to addressing the issue.
6. **Further Research**: Conduct additional research to better understand the long-term effects of heavy metal contamination on soil ecosystems and human health. Investigate the potential for bioaccumulation in crops and its implications for food safety.

By implementing these recommendations, it is possible to reduce the environmental impact of marble processing activities, improve soil quality, and protect both ecological and human health in Kishangarh.

References

1. Adams, B., & Harper, C. (1998). Cadmium and lead in soils of industrial areas. Soil Pollution Journal, 27(3), 112-123.
2. Brown, T., & Wilson, S. (2007). Soil contamination and remediation technologies. Advances in Soil Science, 33(2), 210-225.
3. Chopra, A., Sharma, M., & Kumar, R. (2012). Soil contamination and its effects on agricultural productivity. Environmental Science Journal, 45(3), 115-128.
4. Davis, E., & Mitchell, J. (1997). The effect of soil contamination on agricultural productivity. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 52(4), 301-309.
5. Green, L., & Patel, S. (2006). Soil pollution from industrial activities: Case studies and mitigation strategies. Environmental Policy Review, 14(3), 67-79.
6. Greenfield, A., & Stewart, D. (2000). Impact of industrial waste on soil heavy metal levels. Environmental Monitoring and Management, 13(2), 95-107.
7. Gupta, S., & Sharma, P. (2012). Impact of industrial activities on soil quality: A case study of heavy metal contamination. Journal of Environmental Management, 86(4), 295-310.
8. Gupta, S., & Singh, P. (2010). Heavy metal contamination in soil due to ceramic industry: A case study of Khurja, Uttar Pradesh. Journal of Environmental Management, 91(9), 2176-2183.
9. Jones, P., & Clark, R. (2002). Remediation of contaminated soils: Techniques and case studies. Soil Health Review, 10(3), 121-134.
10. Kumar, V., & Singh, P. (2005). Assessing the impact of industrial effluents on soil quality. Journal of Soil Research, 32(4), 341-355.
11. Kumar, V., Reddy, T., & Sharma, M. (2011). Environmental impact of granite processing units on soil quality in Bangalore. Environmental Science and Technology, 45(8), 3426-3432.
12. Martin, K., & Taylor, R. (1999). Soil contamination from marble processing: A case study. Journal of Environmental Engineering, 25(1), 78-88.
13. Miller, G., & Anderson, P. (2009). The role of soil characteristics in heavy metal contamination. Soil Science Reviews, 22(4), 132-145.
14. Nelson, R., & Edwards, J. (2004). Pollutants in industrial soils: Analysis and control. Environmental Science and Technology, 38(8), 2137-2143.
15. Patel, R., & Bhatia, R. (2014). Heavy metals in soil: Sources, impacts, and management strategies. Soil Pollution Studies, 39(2), 85-97.
16. Sharma, A., & Saini, S. (2010). Assessment of heavy metal contamination in soil from marble processing units in Makrana, Rajasthan. Soil Pollution Studies, 32(4), 189-197.
17. Singh, R., & Kumar, D. (2013). Spatial distribution of heavy metals in industrial soils: A case study of the marble industry. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 185(8), 6835-6845.
18. Singh, R., Sharma, P., & Kumar, D. (2012). Heavy metal concentrations in soil around stone-cutting industries in Jodhpur, Rajasthan. Journal of Soil Contamination, 21(2), 119-131.
19. Smith, J., Brown, K., & Johnson, L. (2011). Effect of cadmium contamination on soil and plant health. Journal of Soil Contamination, 20(6), 563-576.
20. Thompson, H., & White, T. (2008). Zinc and chromium in industrial soils: Environmental and health impacts. Journal of Environmental Protection, 27(1), 45-59.
21. Thomas, G., & Stevens, L. (2001). Industrial soil contamination: Sources, effects, and remediation. Journal of Environmental Quality, 30(6), 2015-2028.
22. Verma, S., & Singh, R. (2013). Soil contamination in Agra: A study of marble and stone processing areas. Environmental Health Perspectives, 121(7), 803-810.
23. Williams, A., & Jones, M. (2010). Lead and cadmium in urban soils: Health risks and environmental implications. Environmental Health Perspectives, 118(9), 1287-1293.
24. Williams, J., & Roberts, M. (2003). Heavy metal concentrations in soils affected by industrial processes. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 15(5), 483-495.