IMPLEMENTING CI/CD PIPELINES FOR MACHINE LEARNING MODELS: BEST PRACTICES AND CHALLENGES

SWAMY PRASADARAO VELAGA

Sr. Technical Programmer Analyst, Department of Information Technology

Abstract:

Continuous Integration (CI) and Continuous Deployment/Delivery (CD) practices have become indispensable in modern machine learning (ML) pipelines, aiming to streamline the development, testing, and deployment of ML models. This review paper explores best practices, challenges, and solutions in implementing CI/CD pipelines specifically tailored for ML. Key areas addressed include modular pipeline design for flexibility and reusability, automated data validation to ensure data quality, strategies for reproducibility in experiments, scalability considerations for handling large datasets and complex models, integration challenges with existing systems, security measures to protect sensitive data, and the importance of collaboration and documentation in enhancing team efficiency and knowledge sharing. By addressing these aspects, organizations can optimize their ML workflows, accelerate model deployment, and maintain robustness and reliability in their AI-driven applications.

Keywords: Continuous Integration, Continuous Deployment, Machine Learning, CI/CD Pipelines

I. INTRODUCTION

Continuous Integration (CI) is a software development practice where developers frequently merge their code changes into a central repository [1]. This process is often accompanied by automated builds and tests, which help ensure that new code changes do not break the existing functionality of the application. The primary goal of CI is to detect and fix integration issues early, thereby improving the overall quality of the software and accelerating the development process [1].

Continuous Deployment/Delivery (CD) builds upon CI by automating the deployment of code changes to various environments, such as staging and production [2]. In Continuous Delivery, every code change is automatically tested and prepared for release to production, but the final deployment step requires manual approval [2]. In Continuous Deployment, code changes are automatically released to production without any manual intervention, provided they pass all predefined tests and quality checks. The aim of CD is to reduce the time between writing a code change and making it available to users, enabling faster delivery of features, bug fixes, and improvements.

Importance in ML

Implementing CI/CD for machine learning (ML) models is crucial due to several unique challenges and requirements inherent in the ML development lifecycle [3]. Unlike traditional software development, ML projects involve not only code but also data, models, and various experimental setups. Here's why CI/CD is vital for ML:

1. Frequent Updates and Iterations: ML models require frequent updates and iterations based on new data, hyperparameter tuning, and algorithm improvements. CI/CD enables seamless integration of these updates, ensuring that the latest models are always deployed efficiently and reliably [2].

2. Reproducibility: Reproducing ML experiments is critical for ensuring consistent results and model performance. CI/CD pipelines help in maintaining reproducibility by automating the entire workflow, from data preprocessing to model training and deployment, ensuring that the same steps are followed each time [3].

3. Automated Testing and Validation: ML models must be rigorously tested and validated before deployment to ensure they meet performance and accuracy criteria. CI/CD pipelines facilitate automated testing, including unit tests for code, integration tests for data pipelines, and validation tests for model performance, reducing the risk of deploying faulty models.

4. Efficient Collaboration: ML projects often involve collaboration among data scientists, engineers, and researchers. CI/CD provides a structured and automated process for integrating contributions from different team members, improving collaboration and reducing integration conflicts [3].

5. Scalability and Resource Management: As ML models and datasets grow in size and complexity, managing computational resources becomes challenging. CI/CD pipelines help in efficiently managing resources by automating the scaling of infrastructure, ensuring that model training and deployment processes are optimized.

6. Monitoring and Maintenance: After deployment, ML models must be continuously monitored to detect issues such as data drift and model degradation. CI/CD pipelines include automated monitoring and alerting mechanisms, enabling proactive maintenance and ensuring sustained model performance over time [3].

Implementing CI/CD pipelines for ML models streamlines the development and deployment processes, enhances collaboration and reproducibility, ensures rigorous testing and validation, optimizes resource management, and provides robust monitoring and maintenance capabilities. These benefits collectively lead to more reliable, efficient, and scalable ML systems. Figure 1 represents the ML cycle for CI/CD.

 Figure 1: Exploring the ML Cycle for CI/CD

Current Research Problem and Resolved Issues

The field of machine learning (ML) faces several challenges in implementing Continuous Integration (CI) and Continuous Deployment/Delivery (CD) pipelines. Key issues include managing data versioning and

IJIRCT2407061 International Journal of Innovative Research and Creative Technology (www.ijirct.org) 2

integrity, developing automated testing methods tailored for ML models, and ensuring reproducibility of ML experiments. The scalability of CI/CD pipelines to handle large datasets and complex models is a significant concern, as is integrating ML pipelines with existing traditional software CI/CD systems. Additionally, monitoring and maintaining deployed models to detect data drift, model degradation, and performance changes remain ongoing research problems [4].

This review paper addresses these challenges by providing a comprehensive overview of best practices and common obstacles in implementing CI/CD pipelines for ML models. It proposes a unified framework for managing data and model versioning, ensuring data integrity and traceability throughout the ML lifecycle. The paper reviews current tools and methodologies for automating ML model testing, including performance evaluation and validation processes. Strategies and tools to ensure reproducibility of ML experiments, addressing variations in preprocessing, training, and deployment environments, are outlined. The paper explores techniques for scaling CI/CD pipelines, including resource optimization and distributed computing approaches, to handle large datasets and complex models efficiently. It also examines methods to integrate ML-specific CI/CD practices with traditional software CI/CD pipelines, providing a cohesive approach that minimizes workflow disruptions. Lastly, the paper provides guidelines for establishing effective monitoring systems and automated maintenance processes to ensure sustained model performance post-deployment. By addressing these challenges, this paper aims to offer a detailed roadmap for practitioners and researchers to implement robust, efficient, and scalable CI/CD pipelines for ML models, ultimately leading to more reliable and faster deployment of ML solutions.

Motivation

The rapid advancement of machine learning (ML) technologies has led to their widespread adoption across various industries. However, deploying and maintaining ML models in production environments presents unique challenges that differ significantly from traditional software development. While Continuous Integration (CI) and Continuous Deployment/Delivery (CD) practices have proven effective in software engineering, their application in the ML domain is still evolving. ML models rely heavily on data, require complex training processes, and need continuous monitoring and retraining, making their lifecycle more intricate. This complexity often results in longer deployment cycles, difficulty in maintaining reproducibility, and challenges in ensuring consistent model performance. There is a pressing need for standardized CI/CD practices tailored for ML to streamline the development, testing, and deployment processes. This paper aims to address this gap by reviewing the current best practices and challenges in implementing CI/CD pipelines for ML models.

Contribution

This review paper makes several key contributions to the field of CI/CD for machine learning:

1. Comprehensive Framework for Data and Model Versioning: The paper provides a detailed overview of best practices for managing different versions of datasets and models, ensuring data integrity and traceability throughout the ML lifecycle.

2. Automated Testing Techniques for ML Models: It reviews current tools and methodologies for automating the testing of ML models, including unit tests, integration tests, and performance validation processes.

3. Strategies for Ensuring Reproducibility: The paper outlines effective strategies and tools to ensure reproducibility of ML experiments, addressing variations in data preprocessing, training procedures, and deployment environments.

4. Scalability Solutions: Techniques for scaling CI/CD pipelines to handle large datasets and complex models are explored, including resource optimization and distributed computing approaches.

By addressing these key areas, this paper offers a detailed roadmap for practitioners and researchers to implement robust, efficient, and scalable CI/CD pipelines for ML models, ultimately enhancing the reliability and speed of ML solution deployments.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the Literature Review, Section 3 introduces the Comprehensive Framework for Data and Model Versioning, Section 4 discusses Challenges in ML CI/CD Pipelines, and Section 5 presents the Conclusion. Each section contributes to exploring and addressing key aspects related to CI/CD pipelines for machine learning and AI models, providing insights into methodologies, frameworks, challenges, and future directions in the field.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of Machine Learning (ML) operations in industrial environments, emphasizing the necessity for data-driven organizations to adopt AI and ML while highlighting the challenges of maintaining ML models in production [5]. Drawing parallels with DevOps, this study examines how MLOps principles enhance ML operationalization [5]. It investigates best practices, challenges, and solutions for developing MLOps processes in the cloud from a Requirements Engineering (RE) perspective. Using Design Science Research methodology, the study identifies barriers, proposes solutions, and assesses efficiency through iterative artifact creation and refinement. By providing practical guidance and advancing understanding of MLOps and RE interaction, the research suggests that future evaluations should test the artifact's effectiveness in realworld settings [5].

Automated testing has become essential in software development, offering benefits like enhanced test coverage, quicker feedback cycles, and reduced manual effort [6]. An automated testing techniques, tools, and frameworks, emphasizing their role in improving software quality and development efficiency is presented into [6]. We cover various automated testing methods, including unit testing, integration testing, and end-to-end testing, detailing their advantages and challenges. Popular automated testing tools and frameworks, such as Selenium, Appium, and JUnit, are discussed, highlighting their features and suitability for different scenarios. Additionally, we explore emerging trends like AI-driven testing and shift-left testing, and their impact on development practices. By utilizing these automated testing approaches, tools, and frameworks, organizations can achieve better test coverage, faster release cycles, and higher software quality [6].

This article highlights the significance of machine learning (ML) in real-world applications and examines the emergence of MLOps (Machine Learning Operations) in addressing challenges such as model deployment and performance monitoring [7]. By reviewing MLOps' evolution and its connection to traditional software development, the paper suggests integrating MLOps into ML to resolve current issues and enhance productivity [7]. It emphasizes the importance of automated model training and ensuring transparency and repeatability through version control systems. The paper also discusses the challenges of incorporating ML components into traditional CI/CD pipelines, proposing solutions like versioning environments and containerization. Furthermore, it underscores the necessity of continuous monitoring and feedback loops postdeployment to maintain model performance and reliability [7]. Using case studies and best practices from Netflix, the article provides key strategies and lessons for successful MLOps implementation, offering valuable guidance for other organizations looking to develop and optimize their MLOps practices.

In today's world, software is increasingly integral to daily life, necessitating faster product releases amid intense market competition [8]. To address this, companies are enhancing development models through Continuous Integration (CI), though selecting the right CI tool from the many available can be challenging. This research provides an overview and comparison of common CI tools, presenting a decision matrix that highlights Jenkins as the preferred choice due to its flexibility, suitability for various development methodologies, and its status as a free, open-source project [8]. Jenkins meets most defined requirements and

aligns with the research questions of this thesis. Additionally, this thesis serves as a concise guide, offering fundamental knowledge for those new to CI and enabling a deeper understanding of the CI process.

DevOps represents a trend towards tighter integration between development (Dev) and operations (Ops) teams, driven by the need to continuously adapt enterprise applications (EAs) to changing business environments [9]. Traditionally, DevOps has focused on ensuring a steady flow of new features and bug fixes from a functional perspective [9]. This report aims to integrate a non-functional perspective into DevOps, specifically focusing on tools, activities, and processes that ensure the critical quality attribute of performance. Performance, which encompasses system properties related to timeliness and resource usage, is measured by metrics such as response time, throughput, and resource utilization. Performance goals for EAs are set by establishing upper and/or lower bounds for these metrics and specific business transactions. Ensuring these goals requires activities during both the development and operation of these systems, as well as during the transition from Dev to Ops. Development activities are typically referred to as Software Performance Engineering (SPE), while operational activities are known as Application Performance Management (APM). Historically, SPE and APM have been handled independently, but emerging DevOps concepts necessitate and facilitate their integration. This report explores existing solutions for this integration and identifies open research challenges in the area [9].

This research aims to explore contemporary software applications through a grounded theory approach to DevOps competencies, knowledge, and skills [10]. The importance of DevOps in software development is significant, serving as a vital paradigm that integrates technical expertise with collaborative skills. Effective implementation of DevOps in software development and operations requires an in-depth assessment to understand its intricate dimensions [10]. This study will conduct a comprehensive literature review to grasp the evolving landscape of DevOps in software development. By synthesizing existing literature, the research will uncover underlying patterns that impact DevOps implementation, assessing both technical proficiencies and the current state of software development. Common proficiencies include automated testing, infrastructure, and continuous integration. Understanding these patterns will provide valuable insights for researchers, educators, and practitioners, offering a comprehensive framework for effective DevOps implementation [10].

Many IT organizations are shifting their business and service offerings to meet client demands through approaches like application modernization [11]. Software modernization involves refactoring or consolidating traditional and legacy programming techniques to align with business outcomes and client needs. Key strategies adopted by IT organizations include operational excellence, service alignment, and portfolio optimizations to tailor IT services to client business objectives [11]. This article presents an innovative Endto-End (E2E) framework designed to advance software application development and delivery. The proposed framework bridges the gap with legacy approaches by seamlessly integrating available resources, tools, infrastructure, information services, and delivery methods to maximize business value for end users. The uniqueness of this framework lies in its focus on aligning software services with business outcomes through an E2E approach, starting from assessing client needs and drafting a plan of action to transforming IT delivery methods [11].

Table 1: Summary for the literature Review

III. COMPREHENSIVE FRAMEWORK FOR DATA AND MODEL VERSIONING

Managing different versions of datasets and models is a critical aspect of the machine learning (ML) lifecycle, as it ensures data integrity and traceability, which are essential for reproducibility, collaboration, and reliable deployment [12]. This paper provides a detailed overview of best practices for implementing a comprehensive framework for data and model versioning.

Data Versioning

1. Version Control Systems: Utilize version control systems (VCS) such as Git, DVC (Data Version Control), or Delta Lake to manage datasets. These systems allow for the tracking of changes to datasets over time, enabling rollbacks to previous versions when necessary [13].

2. Metadata Management: Maintain detailed metadata for datasets, including information on data sources, preprocessing steps, and any transformations applied. This metadata should be stored alongside the data to ensure full transparency and traceability [12].

3. Consistent Preprocessing: Standardize preprocessing steps and ensure they are versioned alongside the datasets. This includes steps such as data cleaning, normalization, and feature engineering. Consistent preprocessing is crucial for ensuring that different versions of the data are comparable.

4. Storage Solutions: Use robust storage solutions that support versioning, such as object storage systems (e.g., AWS S3, Google Cloud Storage) with built-in versioning capabilities. These systems can maintain multiple versions of the same file and track changes over time.

5. Data Lineage: Implement data lineage tracking to map the journey of data from its origin to its current state. This helps in understanding the transformations applied to the data and the impact of these changes on model performance [14].

Model Versioning

1. Model Registry: Use a model registry to store and manage different versions of ML models. Tools like MLflow, ModelDB, or TensorFlow Model Registry can be used to log and version model artifacts, including parameters, hyperparameters, and training metrics [11].

2. Experiment Tracking: Implement experiment tracking systems to log all aspects of model training experiments. This includes the training data used, model configurations, and performance metrics. Tools like MLflow, Weights & Biases, or Comet.ml provide comprehensive experiment tracking capabilities.

3. Model Packaging: Package models with all dependencies and environment configurations using containerization tools like Docker. This ensures that models can be reproduced and deployed in any environment without discrepancies [12].

4. Reproducibility: Ensure that all components required to reproduce a model, including data, code, environment, and configuration files, are versioned and stored together. This holistic approach guarantees that the entire pipeline can be recreated accurately.

5. Model Lineage: Track the lineage of models to understand the evolution of model performance over time. This includes logging the relationship between different versions of a model, the data they were trained on, and the specific changes made in each iteration.

Automated Testing

1. Unit Tests for Code:

 - Purpose: Ensure individual components of the ML code (e.g., data preprocessing functions, feature extraction modules) work as intended [12].

- Scope: Focus on small, isolated pieces of code to verify their correctness and functionality.

 - Example: Testing a function that normalizes data to ensure it correctly scales input values to a specified range.

2. Integration Tests:

- Purpose: Verify that different components of the ML pipeline work together as expected.

 - Scope: Assess the interaction between various modules, such as data ingestion, preprocessing, model training, and prediction steps.

 - Example: Testing the entire data pipeline from raw data ingestion to final processed data ready for model training to ensure seamless data flow [13].

3. Model Validation Tests:

- Purpose: Evaluate the performance and accuracy of the trained ML model on validation datasets [11].

 - Scope: Include metrics like precision, recall, F1-score, and ROC-AUC to ensure the model meets the required performance criteria.

 - Example: Running the model on a holdout validation set to check if it achieves the desired accuracy and performs well on unseen data.

Best Practices in ML CI/CD Pipelines

In the realm of machine learning (ML) CI/CD pipelines, adopting best practices is crucial to ensure efficiency, reliability, and scalability throughout the development and deployment processes. Here are key practices that contribute to effective pipeline management:

Modular Pipeline Design

A modular approach involves breaking down the ML pipeline into smaller, reusable components. Each component, such as data ingestion, preprocessing, model training, and evaluation, operates independently but integrates seamlessly within the larger pipeline framework [12]. By modularizing the pipeline, teams can develop and test components in isolation, which enhances flexibility and reduces the risk of introducing errors across the entire pipeline. This approach not only facilitates easier maintenance and updates but also allows for scaling specific components independently as data and computational demands grow.

Automated Data Validation

Ensuring data quality and integrity is fundamental to the success of ML models. Automated data validation involves implementing checks and safeguards at various stages of the pipeline to detect anomalies, inconsistencies, and missing values in the data. These checks validate data schema, format compliance, and statistical properties, ensuring that only high-quality data enters the training and evaluation phases. By automating these validation processes, teams can detect and address data issues early, minimizing the risk of erroneous model outputs and improving overall pipeline reliability [11].

Reproducibility

Achieving reproducibility in ML experiments involves documenting and versioning every aspect of the pipeline, including code, data, configurations, and environment settings. Version control systems (VCS) such as Git and tools like Docker for environment containerization play crucial roles in maintaining consistency across experiments. By logging experiment parameters, hyperparameters, and results systematically, teams can reproduce experiments reliably, verify findings, and debug issues effectively. This practice not only supports collaborative research efforts but also ensures transparency and auditability in model development and deployment [10].

Scalability

Designing ML pipelines that scale seamlessly with growing data volumes and computational requirements is essential for handling real-world applications effectively. Scalability involves leveraging distributed computing frameworks, cloud-based resources, and parallel processing techniques to optimize pipeline performance. By adopting scalable storage solutions and infrastructure, teams can accommodate increasing data complexity and model sophistication without compromising on speed or efficiency [11]. This scalability ensures that ML pipelines remain robust and responsive as project demands evolve over time.

Security

Protecting data integrity and maintaining pipeline security are critical considerations in ML CI/CD pipelines. Implementing rigorous security measures, such as access controls, encryption of sensitive data, and adherence to secure coding practices, helps mitigate risks associated with data breaches and unauthorized access. Regular security audits and updates further strengthen pipeline resilience against evolving threats. By prioritizing security at every stage of the pipeline—from data ingestion to model deployment—teams can safeguard valuable data assets and maintain stakeholder trust in their ML solutions [9].

Collaboration and Documentation

Effective collaboration and comprehensive documentation are foundational to successful ML pipeline management. Using collaborative tools for version control and shared documentation platforms facilitates knowledge sharing among team members and ensures consistency in project workflows. Detailed documentation of experiments, data sources, pipeline configurations, and decision-making processes provides a clear reference for current and future team members. This documentation not only aids in troubleshooting and onboarding new team members but also promotes transparency and accountability throughout the ML lifecycle.

IV. CHALLENGES IN ML CI/CD PIPELINES

Implementing Continuous Integration (CI) and Continuous Deployment/Delivery (CD) pipelines for machine learning (ML) models introduces several unique challenges, distinct from traditional software development practices. These challenges stem from the inherent complexities of managing data, models, and the iterative nature of ML experimentation. Here are key challenges faced in ML CI/CD pipelines:

1. Data Management Complexity:

 - Variability and Volume: ML models rely heavily on data quality and diversity. Managing large volumes of diverse data sources, ensuring data consistency across different environments, and handling data preprocessing complexities pose significant challenges [12].

2. Model Versioning and Management:

 - Model Drift: ML models degrade over time due to changes in data distribution (data drift) or evolving business requirements (concept drift). Managing different versions of models, tracking changes, and ensuring consistency in model performance across deployments is challenging [11].

3. Reproducibility of Experiments:

 - Environment Variability: Replicating ML experiments across different environments (e.g., development, testing, production) with consistent results is challenging due to variations in hardware, software dependencies, and data sources. Achieving reproducibility is crucial for validating model performance and ensuring consistency.

4. Scalability and Resource Management:

 - Computational Resources: ML models often require significant computational resources for training and inference tasks. Scaling ML pipelines to handle large datasets, optimize resource allocation, and manage distributed computing environments efficiently is a complex endeavor [10].

5. Integration with Existing Systems:

 - Legacy Systems: Integrating ML CI/CD pipelines with existing software development pipelines and legacy IT systems introduces compatibility issues, workflow disruptions, and additional complexity. Ensuring seamless integration while maintaining data integrity and security is challenging [8].

6. Monitoring and Maintenance:

 - Model Monitoring: ML models deployed in production require continuous monitoring to detect performance degradation, data drift, and model drift. Establishing effective monitoring frameworks and automated maintenance processes to address these issues in real-time is crucial but challenging.

7. Security Concerns:

 - Data Privacy: ML pipelines deal with sensitive data, making data privacy and compliance with regulations (e.g., GDPR, HIPAA) critical. Ensuring secure data handling practices, implementing access controls, and encrypting data throughout the pipeline lifecycle are essential but challenging tasks [9].

Addressing these challenges requires a combination of advanced tooling, robust methodologies, and crossfunctional collaboration between data scientists, engineers, and IT professionals. By identifying and proactively mitigating these challenges, organizations can successfully implement and optimize CI/CD pipelines for ML models, improving deployment efficiency, model reliability, and overall business outcomes.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, the adoption of Continuous Integration (CI) and Continuous Deployment/Delivery (CD) practices in machine learning (ML) pipelines represents a transformative approach to enhancing efficiency and reliability in model development and deployment. By embracing modular pipeline design for flexibility and reusability, implementing automated data validation for ensuring data quality, and prioritizing reproducibility through version control and comprehensive logging, organizations can streamline development cycles and validate ML experiments reliably. Scalability challenges underscore the need for scalable computing resources and effective integration with existing systems, while stringent security measures are crucial for safeguarding sensitive data throughout the pipeline. Collaborative tools and documentation further promote transparency and knowledge sharing, essential for maintaining continuity and driving innovation in ML practices. Despite ongoing challenges, these practices empower organizations to deploy robust ML solutions swiftly, meeting dynamic business demands and advancing towards a data-driven future.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hukkanen, Lauri. "Adopting continuous integration-a case study." (2015).
- [2] Pesola, Juuso. "Implementing Continuous Integration in a Small Company: A Case Study." (2016).
- [3] Parihar, Ashish Singh, et al. "Automated machine learning deployment using open-source CI/CD tool." Proceedings of Data Analytics and Management: ICDAM 2022. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2023. 209-222.
- [4] Hilton, Michael, et al. "Continuous integration (CI) needs and wishes for developers of proprietary code." (2016).
- [5] Talele, Gokul Chandrakant. "What Are The Key Areas Of ML-Ops/DL-Ops In Business Problems For Company Growth Using Cloud Environment?." Global journal of Business and Integral Security (2016).
- [6] Asafo-Adjei, Akim. "Automated Testing Techniques-Tools and Frameworks: Exploring automated testing techniques, tools, and frameworks for improving test coverage and reducing manual testing efforts." Distributed Learning and Broad Applications in Scientific Research 1 (2015): 8-17.
- [7] Liang, Penghao, et al. "Automating the Training and Deployment of Models in MLOps by Integrating Systems with Machine Learning." arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.09819 (2024).
- [8] Polkhovskiy, Denis. Comparison between continuous integration tools. MS thesis. 2016.
- [9] Brunnert, Andreas, et al. "Performance-oriented DevOps: A research agenda." arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.04752 (2015).
- [10]Mohammed, Ibrahim Ali. "A grounded theory assessment of contemporary software applications: Knowledge, competencies, and skills in DevOps." International Journal of Current Science (IJCSPUB) www. ijcspub. org, ISSN (2012): 2250-1770.
- [11]MOHAMED, SAMER I. "INNOVATIVE SOFTWARE DELIVERY FRAMEWORK TO WARDS SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS MODERNIZATION."
- [12] Loukides, Mike. What is DevOps?. " O'Reilly Media, Inc.", 2012.
- [13]Riungu-Kalliosaari, Leah, et al. "DevOps adoption benefits and challenges in practice: A case study." Product-Focused Software Process Improvement: 17th International Conference, PROFES 2016, Trondheim, Norway, November 22-24, 2016, Proceedings 17. Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- [14]Walls, Mandi. Building a DevOps culture. " O'Reilly Media, Inc.", 2013.